



Brussels, 21.2.2023
C(2023) 1078 final

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION

of 21.2.2023

**granting an authorisation under Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European
Parliament and of the Council to Yposkesi for a use of 4-(1,1,3,3-
tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated (4-tert-OPnEO)**

(Only the English text is authentic)

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION

of 21.2.2023

granting an authorisation under Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council to Yposkesi for a use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated (4-tert-OPnEO)

(Only the English text is authentic)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, amending Directive 1999/45/EC and repealing Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 as well as Council Directive 76/769/EEC and Commission Directives 91/155/EEC, 93/67/EEC, 93/105/EC and 2000/21/EC¹, and in particular Article 64(8) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated ('4-tert-OPnEO') is listed in Annex XIV to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 and uses of that substance are subject to the authorisation requirement in Article 56(1), point (a), of that Regulation.
- (2) On 2 July 2019, Yposkesi ('the applicant') submitted an application in accordance with Article 62 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 for authorisation for a use of 4-tert-OPnEO². The use for which authorisation was sought is of 4-tert-OPnEO for its non-ionic detergent properties for the cell membrane lysis and viral clearance during the development and manufacturing of viral vectors in medicinal products dedicated to human use (Investigational and Authorized Advanced Therapy Medicinal Product).
- (3) On 4 January 2021, the Commission received the opinions on the application adopted by the Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) and by the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC) of the European Chemicals Agency³ and sent to it pursuant to Article 64(5), second subparagraph, of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006.
- (4) RAC concluded in its opinion that it is not possible to determine a predicted no-effect concentration for the endocrine disrupting properties for the environment of 4-tert-OPnEO in accordance with Section 6.4 of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 and that therefore 4-tert-OPnEO is a substance for which it is not possible to determine a threshold for the purposes of Article 60(3), point (a), of that Regulation. As a result, Article 60(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 does not apply to that substance and

¹ OJ L 396, 30.12.2006, p. 1.

² Different names and abbreviations are used to refer to the substance, including 'Triton® X-100', in the chemical safety report.

³ <https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/f44dded6-12ef-4200-1fad-b6cb9ff2b593>

authorisations may therefore only be granted with respect to that substance under Article 60(4) of that Regulation.

- (5) RAC noted that risk to the environment cannot be excluded for non-threshold substances, even at low exposure levels. Consequently, RAC takes the emissions of the substance as a proxy for the risk.
- (6) In its opinion, RAC concluded that the risk management measures and operational conditions described in the application are appropriate and effective to limit the risk to the environment posed by that use. RAC noted that all solid waste and wastewater contaminated with 4-tert-OPnEO are collected and disposed of for incineration, also after the expected increase of the use of 4-tert-OPnEO during the review period, resulting in zero emission into the environment. Therefore, RAC considered that releases to environmental compartments have been, and are expected to be, prevented or minimised as far as technically and practically possible. Having evaluated RAC's assessment, the Commission agrees with its conclusion.
- (7) In its opinion, SEAC concluded that it has no substantial reservations on the quantitative and the qualitative elements of the applicant's assessment of the socio-economic benefits and the risk to the environment associated with the continued use of 4-tert-OPnEO. Taking into account SEAC's assessment, RAC's conclusion that releases to the environment are not expected, the estimated quantitatively assessed benefits due to avoided profit loss and job losses at minimum in the order of tens of millions of euro, the additional qualitatively assessed socio-economic benefits of the continued use due to avoided delays in gene therapy, as well as any relevant distributional impact, the Commission concludes that the applicant has demonstrated that the socio-economic benefits of the continued use of 4-tert-OPnEO outweigh the risk to human health or the environment arising from that use.
- (8) A suitable alternative should be safer, available, and technically and economically feasible. Where suitable alternatives are available in the Union, but not technically or economically feasible for the applicant or its downstream users, an authorisation may be granted if the applicant for authorisation submits a substitution plan. An alternative that provides the functionality and level of technical performance necessary for the use for which authorisation is sought should be considered to be technically feasible.
- (9) In its opinion, SEAC concluded that there were no available alternative substances or technologies for the applicant by the sunset date. The Commission, having evaluated SEAC's assessment and all relevant information available, acknowledges that it is still to be proven whether the identified alternatives provide the required cell membrane lysis and viral clearance for the development and manufacturing of viral vectors in medicinal products. The Commission therefore considers that it cannot be deemed that the identified alternatives allow the functionality needed for the use for which authorisation is sought. Therefore, the Commission agrees with SEAC's conclusion and considers that the applicant has discharged its burden of proof in demonstrating the absence of suitable alternatives both in the Union and for the applicant.
- (10) Therefore, having regard to the conditions laid down in Article 60(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006, it is appropriate to authorise the use of 4-tert-OPnEO described in the application, provided that the risk management measures and operational conditions described in the chemical safety report are fully applied.
- (11) The Commission has based its assessment on all relevant scientific evidence currently available, as assessed by RAC and SEAC, and, after having carried out a detailed

examination, based its conclusions on a sufficient amount of material and reliable information allowing it to conclude.

- (12) SEAC recommended in its opinion that the review period referred to in Article 60(9), point (e), of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006, should be set at 7 years. The Commission agrees with that recommendation, taking into account the relevant elements from RAC's and SEAC's assessments, and, in particular, RAC's conclusion that the operational conditions and risk management measures are expected to be appropriate and effective in limiting the risk to the environment, that no releases to the environment are expected, the socio-economic benefits, and the lack of suitable alternatives within a shorter timeline.
- (13) The language used to describe the risk management measures and operational conditions in the application for authorisation may be different from the official language of the Member State where the use takes place. Therefore, in order to facilitate supervision and enforcement of compliance with the authorisation, it is appropriate to require the authorisation holder to submit, upon request, a brief summary of those risk management measures and operational conditions to the competent authority of that Member State in an official language of that Member State.
- (14) This Decision does not affect the obligation of the authorisation holder to ensure that a use of a substance does not adversely affect human health or the environment, having regard to the principle set out in Article 1(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006. Furthermore, this Decision does not affect the obligation of the authorisation holder under Article 60(10) of that Regulation to ensure that the exposure is reduced to as low a level as is technically and practically possible or the obligation of the employer to eliminate or reduce to a minimum risks to the health and safety of workers at work involving hazardous chemical agents in accordance with Article 5(2) of Council Directive 98/24/EC⁴. This Decision does not affect the application of Union law in the area of health and safety at work, in particular Council Directives 89/391/EEC⁵, 92/85/EEC⁶, 94/33/EC⁷ and 98/24/EC, or any national binding occupational limit values which may be stricter than the applicable limit values under Union law.
- (15) This Decision does not affect any obligation to comply with emission limit values or other requirements set in accordance with Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸ or Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹, nor any obligation to comply with emission limit values set to

⁴ Council Directive 98/24/EC of 7 April 1998 on the protection of the health and safety of workers from the risks related to chemical agents at work (fourteenth individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16(1) of Directive 89/391/EEC) (OJ L 131, 5.5.1998, p. 11).

⁵ Council Directive 89/391/EEC of 12 June 1989 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health of workers at work (OJ L 183, 29.6.1989, p. 1).

⁶ Council Directive 92/85/EEC of 19 October 1992 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health at work of pregnant workers and workers who have recently given birth or are breastfeeding (tenth individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16(1) of Directive 89/391/EEC) (OJ L 348, 28.11.1992, p. 1).

⁷ Council Directive 94/33/EC of 22 June 1994 on the protection of young people at work (OJ L 216, 20.8.1994, p. 12).

⁸ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe (OJ L 152, 11.6.2008, p. 1).

⁹ Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control) (OJ L 334, 17.12.2010, p. 17).

achieve compliance with the environmental quality standards established by Member States in accordance with Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰ or the environmental quality standards established in Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council¹¹. Compliance with the provisions of this Decision does not necessarily imply compliance with emission limit values or environmental quality standards under any other provisions of Union law, which may include further or more onerous requirements.

- (16) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Committee established by Article 133 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

An authorisation is hereby granted in accordance with Article 60(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 for the following use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated (4-tert-OPnEO):

Authorisation number	Authorised use
REACH/23/4/0	For its non-ionic detergent properties for the cell membrane lysis and viral clearance during the development and manufacturing of viral vectors in medicinal products dedicated to human use (Investigational and Authorized Advanced Therapy Medicinal Product)

The authorisation is granted subject to the risk management measures and operational conditions described in the chemical safety report¹².

Article 2

The review period shall expire on 4 January 2028.

The authorisation shall cease to be valid on 4 January 2028 if the review report has not been submitted in accordance with Article 61(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 by 4 July 2026.

Article 3

Upon request, the authorisation holder shall submit a brief summary of the applicable risk management measures and operational conditions described in the chemical safety report to the competent authority of the Member State where the authorised use takes place in an official language of that Member State.

¹⁰ Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1).

¹¹ Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on environmental quality standards in the field of water policy, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directives 82/176/EEC, 83/513/EEC, 84/156/EEC, 84/491/EEC, 86/280/EEC and amending Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 84).

¹² <https://ec.europa.eu/docsroom/documents/44405>

Article 4

This Decision is addressed to Yposkesi, 26 rue Henri Auguste-Desbruères, 91100 Corbeil-Essonnes, France.

Done at Brussels, 21.2.2023

For the Commission
Thierry BRETON
Member of the Commission

